

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11257

**Claimant:**LEHIGH TILE/MARBLE WAREHOUSE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11258

**Claimant:**OHIO VALLEY GENERAL HOSPITAL- NEW ED BLD,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11259

**Claimant:**OREGON AUTO,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11260

**Claimant:**NORTH PACIFIC PLAZA BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11261

**Claimant:**MERIDIAN BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11262

**Claimant:**MCKENZIE HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11263

**Claimant:**KAISER HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11322

**Claimant:**HAMILTON DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11323

**Claimant:**HAMILTON DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11324

**Claimant:**EUGENE MINI HALL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11384

**Claimant:**KELLER MEMORIAL HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11385

**Claimant:**HEALTH CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11386

**Claimant:**STOCKTON CITY LIBRARY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11387

**Claimant:**CHERRY HILL PLAZA,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11388

**Claimant:**ELIZABETH GENERAL HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11389

**Claimant:**GARDEN STATE HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11390

**Claimant:**MOLECULAR DIELECTRIC,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11391

**Claimant:**GATEWAY BUILDING #2,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11392

**Claimant:**HOME FOR THE AGED,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11393

**Claimant:**JOHN F K HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11394

**Claimant:**MEDI CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11395

**Claimant:**NATIONAL NEWARK & ESSEX BANK,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11396

**Claimant:**RARITAN RIVER CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11397

**Claimant:**SPAULDING BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11398

**Claimant:**TATERBORO OFFICE BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11399

**Claimant:**WELLINGTON NURSING HOME,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11400

**Claimant:**METHODIST HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11401

**Claimant:**METHODIST HOSPITAL ADDITION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11402

**Claimant:**CIVIC CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11403

**Claimant:**INDIANA BELL TELEPHONE ADDITION,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11404

**Claimant:**MENTAL HEALTH CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11405

**Claimant:**ALBANY AVE LIBRARY NKA HARTFORD CT PUB L,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11406

**Claimant:**VILLAGE FAIR SHOPPING CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11407

**Claimant:**KINGS DAUGHTER HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11408

**Claimant:**PLANTERS BANK & TRUST,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11409

**Claimant:**NATIONAL BANK OF COMMERCE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11410

**Claimant:**CITY AUDITORIUM,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11411

**Claimant:**CHARLESTON NATIONAL BANK PLAZA,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11412

**Claimant:**CITY NATIONAL BANK,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11413

**Claimant:**DALE SPICER HOSPITAL JOB,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11414

**Claimant:**MONTGOMERY HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11415

**Claimant:**110 PLAZA,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11416

**Claimant:**100 WALL STREET BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11417

**Claimant:**EDEN PARK NURSING HOME,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11418

**Claimant:**BELLEVUE FURNITURE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11419

**Claimant:**CABRINI HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11420

**Claimant:**JOB, MORAN

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11421

**Claimant:**PEOPLE S NATIONAL BANK,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11422

**Claimant:**BUFFALO MEMORIAL HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11423

**Claimant:**KELLOGG CITIZENS BANK,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:** 11424

**Claimant:** AUGUSTANA LUTHERAN HOME,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11425

**Claimant:**BROOKDALE SHOPPING CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11426

**Claimant:**MAJOR LEAGHE SHOPPING CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11427

**Claimant:**BANK OF CALIFORNIA,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11428

**Claimant:**PRESIDENTIAL TOWERS CONDO FKA AMERICANA,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11429

**Claimant:**EDEN PARK NURSING HOME,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11430

**Claimant:**WILLIAMSBURG COMMUNITY HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11431

**Claimant:**EASTERN PARKWAY LIBRARY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11432

**Claimant:**DAYCARE CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11433

**Claimant:**BAMBERGERS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11434

**Claimant:**ALEXANDER S DEPARTMENT STORE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11435

**Claimant:** OBERLIN AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL CENTER,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11436

**Claimant:**SEVERANCE OFFICE BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11437

**Claimant:**SEVERANCE MEDICAL BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11438

**Claimant:**SHELTER BRAINARD OFFICE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11439

**Claimant:**ST JOSEPH`S HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11440

**Claimant:**TRUMBALL COUNTY MEMORIAL HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11441

**Claimant:**WARREN GENERAL HOSPITAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

**Attorney:**STEINMEYER, AMANDA G

**Law Firm:**SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

**Claim Number:**11442

**Claimant:**WHITE MOTORS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

**Category 1 Claim:**     Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

No documents were provided.

Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.